

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MOUANG SAECHO, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

No. C 15-00815 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

LANDRY'S INC, a Delaware corporation,
and McCORMICK & SCHMICK
RESTAURANT CORP., a Delaware
corporation,

Defendants.

**ORDER DENYING
DEFENDANT'S REQUEST
TO EXTEND BRIEFING
SCHEDULE AND SHORTENING
TIME FOR PLAINTIFF'S
RESPONSE TO REQUEST TO
CONTINUE HEARING**

Defendant McCormick & Schmick Restaurant Corp. has filed a motion for administrative relief seeking to extend the deadlines for its opposition and plaintiff's reply by one week, because the witnesses from whom it intends to submit declarations are attending a conference that began on February 8. McCormick & Schmick knew of this potential conflict when the Court granted plaintiff's unopposed motion to extend the deadline to file her class certification motion in November, yet waited until February 8 to request the extension. There is no good cause to adjust the briefing schedule, so that request is **DENIED**.

McCormick & Schmick also seeks to continue the hearing, which the Court continued to March 10, because lead counsel will be unavailable. Plaintiff shall please respond to McCormick & Schmick's request to continue the hearing by **FEBRUARY 10 AT NOON**. For now, the hearing remains on calendar for **MARCH 10**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 9, 2016.


WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE